

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				//
SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/264,57	7 03/08	99 BORYS	s	CASE-NO-10
		•		

IM52/0405

HARRY M LEVY EMRICH & DITHMAR SUITE 3000 300 SOUTH WACKER DRIVE CHICAGO IL 60606

EXAMINER					
WYSZUM	TIERSKI,G				
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
1742	11				
DATE MAUED:	04705701				

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

			ADVISORY ACT	ION	
X	HE PERIOD FO	R RESPONSE:	•		
a) [] is extended to	7 run	or continues to run	from the date	of the final rejection
b) 7	expires three event however	months from the er, will the statutor	date of the final rejection or as of the y period for the response expire later	mailing date of this Adviso than six months from the	ory Action, whichever is later. In no date of the final rejection.
	purposes of o	which the respons determining the pe	obtained by filing a petition under 37 e, the petition , and the fee have beer riod of extension and the correspond date of the originally set shortened s	n filed is the date of the re ing amount of the fee. An	v extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR
	ppellant's Brief i	is due in accordar	nce with 37 CFR 1.192(a).		
X.	pplicant's respon place the appli	nse to the final rej cation in condition	ection, filed 4/2/69 has a for allowance:	been considered with the	following effect, but it is not deemed
1.	The proposed	amendments to t	he claim and /or specification will not	be entered and the final re	ejection stands because:
	a. There is present	s no convincing shed.	owing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why th	e proposed amendment is	s necessary and was not earlier
	b. 🗌 They ra	ise new issues tha	at would require further consideration	and/or search. (See Note	a).
	c. 🗌 They ra	ise the issue of n	ew matter. (See Note).		
	d. They a appeal	are not deemed to	place the application in better form for	r appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying the issues for
	e. They p	resent additional o	claims without cancelling a correspond	ding number of finally reject	cted claims.
	NOTE:				·
			-		
2.	Newly propos the non-allow	sed or amended cl able claims.	aims would be a	llowed if submitted in a se	parately filed amendment cancelling
3.	Upon the filing be as follows:	an appeal, 4he p	opseed amondment Will be enter	red will not be ontoro	dand the status of the claims will
	Claims allowe	d 1-8	. 18-21		
	Claims objecte	ed to: 10 w	ish would be allowable in in	departed form.	
	How	ever;	,		
	Applicant upon	's response has o	vercome the following rejection(s): L	lage 7 of the	ns 1-8 and 15-21 byed present specification defines
4[The affidavit	cm 'Continu	for reconsideration has been conside	Met the applied	Prior art does not feath
	The efficient of		entien which requires a co	matinum of ligh	del metal.
5.	presented.	r exhibit will not be	e considered because applicant has n	ot shown good and suffice	ent reasons why it was not earlier
☐ The	proposed draw	ing correction	has has not been approved b	y the examiner.	Charle
Ot	ner		•		On all
: 6401	2-413				GEORGE WYSZOMIERSKI
PTOL-30	3 (REV. 5-89)				PRIMARY EXAMINER